arXiv:quant-ph/0408096v1 14 Aug 2004

E-print quant-ph/0408096
Annual Higher Ped. Inst. Shumen, **XIB**, 49-62 (1987) *

Geometric Quantization, Coherent States and Stochastic Measurements

B.A. Nikolov, D.A. Trifonov

Abstract. The geometric quantization problem is considered from the point of view of the Davies and Lewis approach to quantum mechanics. The influence of the measuring device is accounted in the classical and quantum case and it is shown that the conditions of the measurement define the type of quantization (Weyl, normal, anti-normal, etc.). The quantum states and quantum operators are obtained by means of the projection, defined from the system of generalized coherent states.

1 Introduction

The main aim of the theory of geometric quantization consists in construction of quantum-mechanical Hilbert space starting from the properties of symplectic manifolds, which play the role of phase spaces of classical mechanical systems [1,2]. If G is a Lie group, then these manifolds arise as orbits of co-adjoint action of G in the dual space $A(G)^*$ of the algebra $A(G)$ of the group G. Let $x_0 \in A(G)^*$ be a fixed element and X be the orbit of x_0, $X = \{ax_0|\, a \in G\}$. At some restrictions on X [1,2], which we consider fulfilled (and therefore classical system with phase space X admits quantization), there exists a unitary one-dimensional representation (a character) of a subgroup $K \subset G$,

$$\chi(k) \equiv \chi(\exp(X_k)) = \exp\left(i\langle x_0, X_k\rangle\right), \tag{1}$$

where $k \equiv \exp(X_k) \in K$ and $\langle x_0, X_k\rangle$ is the value of x_0 at the point $X_k \in A(K) \subset A(G)$. Then one can construct induced representation $W(G) = \chi(K)\uparrow G$, realized in the space $H = L^2(X, dx)$ of square-integrable functions on X, where dx is the invariant measure on X, defined by means of the equality

$$\int_X dx\, f(x) = \int_G f(ax_0)da\,, \tag{2}$$

where da is the invariant measure on G (the group G is supposed unimodular).

Following Mensky [3], we consider the space H as a space of virtual states of quantum system, corresponding to classical system with phase space X. The space of physical states

---

[*] Summary in Math. Review 1990k: 58078.

appears as a subspace $H_0 \subset H$, in which the unitary irreducible representation $W_0(a) = P_0 W(a) P_0$ is realized, P_0 being projector on H_0.

In the present work we consider the relations between induced representations and CS (Section 2) and show that the Hilbert space H can be treated as a space of classical states as well (Section 3). Then the projector P_0 is interpreted as a quantization of classical system. Next, in Section 4, we take into account the influence of the measuring device, which allows different quantization rules to be treated in a unified way. Finally, in Section 5, we study the correspondence between Poisson and Lie-algebraic structures.

2 Measurements, Coherent States and Induced Representations

An unitary representation induced from the character $\chi(k)$ (1), acts in the space H according to the formula

$$(W(a)\Psi)(x) = \chi\left((a,x)_K\right)\Psi(a^{-1}x), \tag{3}$$

where, by definition,

$$(a,x)_K = s^{-1}(x) a s(a^{-1}x). \tag{4}$$

Here $s : G/K \to G$ — cross-section of the Group fibre bundle $(G, G/K, \pi_G)$. We suppose that the space X can be identified with G/K, putting $aK = ax_0$, and thus $s : X \to G$. The cross-section s is determined through decomposition of arbitrary element $a \in G$:

$$a = s(x_a)k_a = s(\pi_G(a))/k_a, \quad k_a \in K. \tag{5}$$

Let ω be some K-invariant vector in the space H, i.e.

$$W(k)\omega = \lambda(k)\omega, \quad |\lambda(k)| = 1. \tag{6}$$

Herefrom, using (3) (at $a = k$ and $\Psi = \omega$) we get

$$\chi((k,x)_K)\omega(k^{-1}x) = \lambda(k)\omega(x). \tag{7}$$

We construct system of states $\omega_x = W(s(x))\omega$ in H. The representation W transforms this system according to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} W(a)\omega_x &= W(as(x))\,\omega \\ &= W\left(s(\pi_G(as(x)))\,k_{as(x)}\right)\omega = \lambda\left((a,ax)_K\right)\omega_{ax}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let us consider functions of the type $P_0\Psi$:

$$(P_0\Psi)(x) = \langle \omega_x | \Psi \rangle = \int dy\, \overline{\omega_x(y)}\, \Psi(y). \tag{8a}$$

Let $H_0 = \{\Psi \in H |\, \Psi = P_0\Psi\}$. Then if $\omega \in H$ is such that

$$W(k)\,\omega = \chi(k)\,\omega\,, \tag{6a}$$

it is not difficult to verify that the subspace $H_0 \subset H$ is an invariant subspace:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0 W(a)\Psi)(x) &= \langle \omega_x | W(a)\Psi\rangle = \langle W(a^{-1})\omega_x|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \langle \chi((a^{-1}, a^{-1}x)_K)\, \omega_{a^{-1}x}|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \chi((a^{-1}, a^{-1}x)_K^{-1}\Psi(a^{-1}x) \\ &= \chi((a,x)_K)\Psi(a^{-1}x) = (W(a)\Psi)(x)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now, using (3) and (6a), we obtain, that vector $\omega(x)$ satisfies the following condition: [1]

$$\omega(k^{-1}x) = \chi((k,x)_K^{-1}k)\omega(x)\,. \tag{10}$$

The states ω_x form an overcomplete family of states in H_0, called system of generalized coherent states (CS) [4]. Indeed, from (9) it follows that $P_0\omega_x = \omega_x$, therefore

$$\langle \omega_z|\omega_x\rangle = \omega_x(z) = \overline{\omega_z(x)}. \tag{10a}$$

Thus for every $\Psi \in H_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= (P_0\Psi)(x) = \langle \omega_x|\Psi\rangle \\ &= \int dz\, \overline{\omega_x(z)}\Psi(z) = \int dz\, \omega_z(x)\Psi(z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

One can easily verify that P_0 is an orthoprojector:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0^2\Psi)(x) &= \int dy\, \overline{\omega_x(y)} \int dz\overline{\omega_z(x)}\Psi(z) \\ &= \int dz \Psi(z)\langle\omega_x|\omega_z\rangle = \int dz\, \Psi(z)\omega_z(x) = (P_0\Psi)(x), \\ \langle \Psi_1|P_0|\Psi_2\rangle &= \langle P_0\Psi_1|\Psi_2\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let us turn now to the relationship between CS and covariant semi-spectral measures (CSS-measures) [5].[2] Recall that the map $M : \mathcal{B}(X) \to B(H)^+$ (where $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is a σ-algebra of Borel subsets of X, $B(H)$ is algebra of bounded operators in H) is called CSS-measure associate with the unitary irreducible representation $W(a)$, if the following *covariance condition* holds

$$W(a)M(\Delta)W^{-1}(a) = M(a\cdot\Delta), \tag{13}$$

where $a\cdot\Delta = \{a\cdot x|\, x\in\Delta\}$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

If we denote the projector onto CS ω_x as M_x,

$$(M_x\Psi)(y) = \omega_x(y)\varphi(x), \quad \Psi \in H_0, \tag{14}$$

then the set of operators

$$M_\omega(\Delta) \equiv \int_\Delta dx\, M_x \tag{15}$$

---

[1] one should not mistake the function $\omega(x)$ of the K-invariant vector ω with $\omega_x := W(s(x))\omega$. (Note added). (There are no footnotes in the journal paper — all are added in this electronic file in order to clarify the exposition. No changes in the main text).

[2] Semi-spectral measures are also called positive operator valued measures (POV-measures) [7,8]. Similarly, spectral measure is a synonym of projection-valued (PV) measure. (Note added).

form a CSS-measure (in H_0).

The inverse turned out to be also true [6]: If $V(a)$ is an irreducible square-integrable representation (of G) in some Hilbert space H_0, and $M(\Delta)$ is a CSS-measure, then a finite trace operator ρ_0 exists, such that it commutes with all $V(k)$, $k \in K$, [3] and

$$M(\Delta) = \int_\Delta dx\, V(a)\rho_0\, V(a)^{-1}, \quad (x = ax_0). \tag{16}$$

In particular, if $M(\Delta)$ is an extremal point in the convex set of all CSS-measures on X and if $\mathrm{Tr}\rho_0 = 1$, then

$$\rho_0 = |\omega_0\rangle\langle\omega_0|, \quad \omega_0 \in H_0,\ V(k)\omega_0 = \chi(k)\omega_0\,. \tag{17}$$

In this case from (16) we again get (15), with $M_x = V(a)|\omega_0\rangle\langle\omega_0|V(a)^\dagger$. As we have seen in the above, condition (17) means that $V(a)$ can be regarded as a subrepresentation of the induced representation $W(a)$ ($W(a) = \chi(K) \uparrow G$) in the space $H = L^2(X)$. The inclusion of H_0 in H is determined by means of the map $\Psi \longmapsto \langle\omega_x|\Psi\rangle$.

If $W(G)$ is an induced representation, then CSS-measure $M(\Delta)$ appears in a natural way from the canonical spectral measure [6],

$$(\Pi(\Delta)\Psi)(x) = 1_\Delta(x)\Psi(x), \tag{18}$$

where

$$1_\Delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \Delta \\ 0, & x \notin \Delta \end{cases},$$

for which the covariance condition (13) is valid too, and, in addition,

$$\Pi(\Delta_1)\Pi(\Delta_2) = \Pi(\Delta \cap \Delta_2)\,. \tag{19}$$

(The last condition is not valid for an arbitrary CSS-measure). Then, given a projector P_0 onto H_0, the operators

$$M(\Delta) = P_0\Pi(\Delta)P_0 \tag{20}$$

form a CSS-measure in H_0.

In the Davies and Lewis theory [7,8] the CSS-measure $M(\Delta)$ is generated from the covariant instrument

$$E_\Delta(\rho) = \int_\Delta dx \mathrm{Tr}(M_x\rho)M_x \tag{21}$$

using the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}E_\Delta(\rho) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho M(\Delta)). \tag{22}$$

Let us note that the correspondence $\rho \longrightarrow w_\rho$, where $w_\rho(\Delta) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho M(\Delta))$ is a measurement in the sense of Holevo [6,9].[4]

---

[3] K is stationary subgroup of x_0 (see theorem IV.2.2 of [9], where CSS-measure $\{M(\Delta)\}$ is shortly called covariant measurement. The invariant measure dx does not depend on the choice of x_0 [9]. (Note added).

[4] In [9] the set of operators $\{M(\Delta)\}$ is called (generalized) resolution of unity. Since $\{M(\Delta)\}$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with measurements (theorem II.2.1 of [9]) they are shortly called measurements. (Note added).

If $f(x)$ is a real continuous function on the phase space X, then one can define operators

$$M(f) = \int dx f(x) M_x, \tag{23}$$

and

$$E_f(\rho) = \int dx f(x) \mathrm{Tr}(M_x \rho) M_x\,, \tag{24}$$

from which $M(\Delta)$ and E_Δ are obtained as particular cases at $f(x) = 1_\Delta(x)$. When $f(x)$ is interpreted as classical observable, then $M(f)$ could be regarded as a quantum observable, corresponding to the classical one. When M_x is a projection on a Glauber CS the so defined correspondence $f \longrightarrow M(f)$ is called *stochastic quantization* [10]. Operator $E_f(\rho)$, Eq. (24), is interpreted as a (unnormalized) state, to which the system goes after measurement of the observable $M(f)$ [7,8].

3 Algebras of Classical Observables and their Quantization

Let $C_0(X)$ be algebra of finite continuous functions on X (with usual multiplication and complex conjugation as an involution). Then, using (3) and introducing the representation $\Pi : C_0(X) \longrightarrow B(H)$,

$$(\Pi(f)\Psi)(z) = f(z)\Psi(z), \tag{25}$$

one can easily check the covariance condition

$$W(a)\Pi(f)W(a)^\dagger = \Pi(L_a f), \tag{26}$$

where $(L_a f)(x) = f(a^{-1}x)$. The relation between $\Pi(f)$ and spectral measure $\Pi(\Delta)$ is given by the expressions

$$\Pi(1_\Delta) = \Pi(\Delta), \tag{27}$$

$$\Pi(f) = \int f(x)\Pi(dx). \tag{28}$$

If one denotes the density of the measure $\Pi(\Delta)$ as Π_x, then (28) could be rewritten in the form

$$\Pi(f) = \int dx\, f(x)\Pi_x\,, \tag{28a}$$

where [5]

$$(\Pi_x \Psi)(z) = \delta_x(z)\Psi)z)\,. \tag{29}$$

Note that the operators M_x in (14) and Π_x in (29) are related as follows

$$P_0 \Pi_x P_0 = M_x P_0\,, \tag{30}$$

wherefrom

$$P_0 \Pi(f) P_0 = M(f) P_0\,. \tag{31}$$

In this way, treating the elements of $C_0(X)$ as classical observables, the representation of algebra $C_0(X)$ in the space $H = L^2(X)$ is determined by means of Eq. (28) in complete analogy with Eq. (23). Then in correspondence with (30), (31) the transition from classical to quantum observables is represented by the projector P_0, Eq. (8a), which maps H onto subspace H_0:

---

[5] $\delta_x(z)$ is the Dirac δ-function. (Note added).

$$(P_0\Psi)(x) = \int dy\, \langle\omega_x|\omega_y\rangle\Psi(y)\,. \tag{32}$$

Now if the states of classical system are characterized by means of density matrices ρ in H, i.e. $\rho(x,y) = \overline{\rho(y,x)}$, $\mathrm{Tr}\rho := \int dx\, \rho(x,x) = 1$, then the mean value of observable f, Eq. (28a), in a state ρ would be

$$\langle f\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\rho\Pi(f)) = \int dx\, f(x)\rho(x,x)\,. \tag{33}$$

In particular, for $\rho(x,y) = \Psi(x)\overline{\Psi(y)} = (\Psi\otimes\bar{\Psi})(x,y)$ we can write (33) in form of the matrix element $\langle\Psi|\Pi(f)\Psi\rangle$,

$$\langle f\rangle_\Psi =\equiv \langle f\rangle_{\Psi\otimes\bar{\Psi}} = \int dx\overline{\Psi(x)}f(x)\Psi(x) = \langle\Psi|\Pi(f)\Psi\rangle\,. \tag{34}$$

Apparently $\rho(x,x)$ is a probability distribution density describing the preparation of classical system.

Let us note that the description of classical instrument by means of a formula like (24),

$$E_f^{(cl)}(\rho) = \int dx\, f(x)\mathrm{Tr}\,(\rho\Pi_x)\,\Pi_x, \tag{35}$$

is possible iff the space admits a reproducing kernel $K(x,y)$, for which

$$\Psi(x) = \int dy\, K(x,y)\Psi(y), \quad K(x,x) = 1\,. \tag{36}$$

Then

$$(\Pi_x\Psi)(y) = \delta_x(y)\Psi(y) = \int dz\, \delta_x(y)K(y,z)\Psi(z),$$

and therefore $\mathrm{Tr}\Pi_x = \int dz\, \delta_x(z)K(z,z) = K(x,x) = 1$.

4 Observables Conditioned by Measuring Device

Following Prugovecki [11] we accept that an exhausting description of the measurement result "x"is attainable if one introduce a nonnegative function η_x with a maximum at x, interpreted as a probability distribution density of true values z of the measuring quantity in space X. Then the quantity

$$\eta_\Delta(z) = \int_\Delta dx\, \eta_x(z), \quad \eta_X(z) = 1, \tag{37}$$

determines the probability of obtaining the true value z, if the measurement yielded value x in Borel set Δ, $\Delta\in\mathcal{B}(X)$. In this way the function η_Δ describes statistical error introduced by the measuring device. In accordance with the Holevo's classical statistical model conjectures [9] we suppose that the probability distribution of a given measurement in a state $w(dx) = w(x)dx = \rho_w(x,x)dx$ is given by the formula

$$\mu_w(\Delta) = \int w(dx)\,\eta_\Delta\,. \tag{38}$$

Then the mean value of observable $f(x)$ in the measurement (in Holevo sense) $w \longmapsto \mu_w$ is equal to

$$\langle f\rangle_{\mu_w} \equiv \int f(x)\mu_w(dx) = \int w(dx) f_\eta(x) = \langle f_\eta\rangle_w, \tag{39}$$

where

$$f_\eta(x) = \int dz\, f(z)\eta_z(x) \tag{40}$$

would be called *classical observable, conditioned by η-device*, or η-observable. Then, in view of $f_{\eta=\delta}(x) = f(x)$, function $f(x)$ could be called δ-observable. Let us note that δ-observables could be rather arbitrary if the device-functions $\eta_z(x)$ are well behaved. If for example, $\eta_z \in C_0(X)$ for all $z \in X$ and $f \in C(X)$, then $f_\eta \in C_0(X)$. We denote the set of δ-observables as $a(X)$. Supposing $\eta_z \in C_0(X)$ we put

$$\Pi_\eta(f) = \Pi(f_\eta) = \int dz\, f(z)\Pi_{\eta_z} = \int f(z)\Pi_\eta(dz)\,,$$

where the operators $\Pi_\eta(\Delta)$, Π_{η_z} are generalizations of $\Pi(\Delta)$, Π_z, Eqs. (18), (29), defined by means of relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_\eta(\Delta)\Psi)(x) &= \eta_\Delta(x)\Psi(x), \\ (\Pi_{\eta_z}\Psi)(x) &= \eta_z(x)\Psi(x)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Let us suppose that the device-functions η_z obey the relation

$$\eta_{az}(x) = \eta_z(a^{-1}x). \tag{42}$$

Then one can straightforwardly show that $\Pi_\eta(\Delta)$ is a CSS-measure:

$$W(a)\Pi_\eta(\Delta)W(a)^{-1} = \Pi_\eta(a\cdot\Delta). \tag{43}$$

Herefrom it also follows that

$$W(a)\Pi_\eta(f)W(a)^{-1} = \Pi_\eta(L_a f)\,, \tag{44}$$

where (similarly to Eq. (25))

$$(\Pi_\eta(f)\Psi)(z) = f_\eta(z)\Psi(z).$$

The transition to quantum observables is performed in a manner similar to that described in Section 3, and is expressed in terms of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\eta_z} &\longrightarrow P_0\Pi_{\eta_z}P_0 \equiv M_{\eta_z}, \\ M_{\eta_z} &= \int dx\, \eta_z(x) M_x\ . \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Then quantum observable that corresponds to the classical one $f(z)$ will be (the operator)

$$M_\eta(f) = \int dz\, f(z) M_{\eta_z} = M(f_\eta)\,. \tag{46}$$

The quantization rule (46) generalizes the Davies–Lewis stochastic quantization (23) and allows one to consider the known quantization rules [12] in a unified manner.

Consider for example the case of the usual (two dimensional) phase space $R^2(q,p) \approx C(z)$, $z = (q+ip)/\sqrt{2\hbar}$. In view of (see [12]) [6]

$$M_z = |z\rangle\langle z| = \int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \exp\left(\alpha\bar{z} - \bar{\alpha}z + \frac{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right) D(\alpha),$$

$$D(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger - \bar{\alpha}\hat{a}), \quad [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1\,,$$

we have

$$M_\eta(f) = \int (d^2z/\pi)\, f(z) \int (d^2\alpha/\pi) \tilde{\eta}_z(\alpha)\, e^{-\alpha\bar{\alpha}/2} D(\alpha),$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta}_z(\alpha) = \int (d^2z/\pi)\, \eta_z(\alpha) \exp(\alpha\bar{z} - \bar{\alpha}z)\,.$$

Now choosing $\eta_z(\alpha)$ such that $\tilde{\eta}_z(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha\bar{\alpha}/2 - \alpha\bar{z} - \bar{\alpha}z)$, we obtain the well known Weyl quantization rule:

$$M_w(f) = \int (d^2z/\pi) f(z) \hat{W}(z) = \int (d^2\alpha/\pi) f(\alpha) D(\alpha),$$

where $\hat{W}(z) = \int (d^2\alpha/\pi) \exp(\alpha\bar{z} - \bar{\alpha}z) D(\alpha)$ is the Wigner operator, the mean of which in a (mixed) state $\hat{\rho}$ is equal to the Wigner function [13].

Let us turn now toward the algebraic structure of the set of classical and of quantum η-observables.

The product $*$ of the quantum observables we define by means of the relation

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,(\rho\,(M_\eta(f) * M_\eta(g))) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(E_{f_\eta}(E_{g_\eta}(\rho))\right)\,, \tag{47}$$

which is a generalization of an analogical relation, proposed by F.E. Schroeck [14] in his dequantization program in the framework of Devies and Lewis theory. Using (47) we may put

$$M_\eta(f) * M_\eta(g) = \int dx \int dz\, f_\eta(x) g_\eta(z) \beta(x,z) M_z\,, \tag{48}$$

where $\beta(x,z) = |\langle\omega_x|\omega_z\rangle|^2$. If we define a new product $*$ of classical observables by means of the relation

$$(f * g)_\eta(z) = \int dx\, f_\eta(x) g_\eta(z) \beta(x,z)\,, \tag{49}$$

we could treat M_η as a homomorphism of classical observable algebra $a(X)$ into the algebra of quantum observables:

$$M_\eta(f * g) = M_\eta(f) * M_\eta(g)\,. \tag{50}$$

The classical limit is understood as [14]:

$$\beta(x,z) \longrightarrow \delta_z(x).$$

Then we have

$$(f * g)_\eta(z)|_{\beta\to\delta} = f_\eta(z) g_\eta(z) = (f *_c g)_\eta(z),$$

---

[6] In this example d^2z/π is the invariant measure. (Note added).

where the product $*_c$, defined by means of the relation $(f *_c g)_\eta(z) = f_\eta(z) g_\eta(z)$ allows as to treat Π_η as a homomorphism in the algebra of classical observables,

$$\Pi_\eta(f *_c g) = \Pi_\eta(f)\Pi_\eta(g)\,. \tag{51}$$

In this way both classical and quantum observables can be regarded as functions on X with different multiplication rules, $*_c$ and $*$, the multiplication $*$ coinciding with $*_c$ in the limit $\beta(x,z) \to \delta_z(x)$.[7]

5 Lie Algebraic Structure of Algebras of Observables

It is well known that the dynamics of classical systems can be described by means of canonical transformations. Let X be a space with symplectic structure $\omega = \omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$, and let its first cohomology group $H^1(X)$ be trivial (that is every closed 1-form $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$, $d\theta = 0$, on X is exact: $\theta = df$). Then the infinitesimal canonical transformation of space X has the form [8]

$$x^i \longrightarrow x'^i = x^i + \delta\tau \omega^{ij} \partial g / \partial x^j\,, \tag{52}$$

where ω^{ij} is a matrix inverse to ω_{ij}, and g is a smooth function, $g \in C^\infty(X)$. The transformation (52) induces a transformation of classical observables, which are assumed to be also in the class of C^∞:

$$\begin{aligned} f \longrightarrow f' : \ f'(x) &= f(x') = f(x + \delta\tau\omega\partial g/\partial x) \\ &= f(x) + \delta\tau\omega^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} = f(x) + \delta\tau\{f, g\} \\ &= f(x) - \delta\tau X(g) f \simeq (e^{-\delta\tau X(g)} f)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where $\{f, g\}$ is the Poisson bracket,

$$\{f, g\} = \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j}, \tag{53a}$$

and $X(g)$ is the generator of the canonical transformation. The transformation (53) can be represented in the differential form

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} + X(g) f = 0\,, \quad \text{where} \quad X(g) = \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\,. \tag{54}$$

On the other hand, using the phase volume invariance under canonical transformations (Liouville theorem) and the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\omega^{ij}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j}\right) = 0 \tag{55}$$

one can obtain the identity [15]

$$\int dx \left(e^{-\delta\tau X(g)} f\right)(x) w(x) = \int dx\, f(x) \left(e^{-\delta\tau X(g)} w\right)(x)\,.$$

---

[7] Unlike $*_c$ the multiplication $*$ is non-associative and non-commutative. Let us note that $\Pi(fg) = \Pi(f)\Pi(g)$, which is to be compared with (51). (Note added).

[8] τ is an external parameter (the time). Here a transformation is called canonical if it preserves the symplectic structure. (Note added).

Herefrom it follows that under canonical transformations the observables could be regarded as invariant, provided the states (understood as probability distributions $w(x)$) vary according to the law [9]

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} = X(g)w\,. \tag{56}$$

Putting $w(x) = |\Psi(x)|^2$, where $\Psi \in H$, one easily verify that equation (56) is a consequence of the equation

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau} = X(g)\Psi\,, \tag{57}$$

which could be regarded as "classical Schrödinger equation"with Hamiltonian $iX(g)$.

By direct calculation we obtain the transformation law of the operator $\Pi(f)$ (Eq. (25)) which represents in H the algebra of classical observables:

$$e^{\delta\tau X(g)}\Pi(f)e^{-\delta\tau X(g)} = \Pi\left(e^{\delta\tau X(g)}f\right)\,. \tag{58}$$

Herefrom

$$[X(g), \Pi(f)] = \Pi(X(g)f)\,, \tag{59}$$

and, in view of

$$[X(g), X(f)] = X(\{g, f\})\,, \tag{60}$$

the pair (Π, X) can be regarded as a representation of Poisson algebra $\langle C_0^\infty(X),\, \cdot\,,\, \{\,,\,\}\rangle$ [16] in Hilbert space H.

In order to pass to the algebra of η-observables we introduce a new Poisson bracket $\{\underset{,}{*}\}$ such that

$$\{g \underset{,}{*} f\}_\eta = \{g_\eta, f_\eta\}\,, \tag{61}$$

where we suppose that $\eta_x \in C_0^\infty(X)$ for all $x \in X$. Then if one put

$$X_\eta(g) = X(g_\eta)\,, \tag{62}$$

one can get relations, similar to (59), (60),

$$[X_\eta(g), X_\eta(f)] = X_\eta(\{g \underset{,}{*} f\})\,, \tag{63}$$

$$[X_\eta(g), \Pi_\eta(f)] = \Pi_\eta(\{g \underset{,}{*} f\})\,. \tag{64}$$

The relations (51), (63), (64) show that the pair (Π_η, X_η) form a representation of the Poisson algebra of η-observables $\langle a_\eta(X),\, *_c\,,\, \{\underset{,}{*}\}\rangle$ in Hilbert space H.

The quantization is performed by means of projection onto subspace H_0. Introducing the notations $Q(f)$ and $Q_\eta(f)$,

$$Q(f) = P_0X(f)P_0, \quad Q_\eta(f) = Q(f_\eta), \tag{65}$$

we have

$$[Q_\eta(f), Q_\eta(g)] = P_0X_\eta(f)P_0X_\eta(g)P_0 - P_0X_\eta(g)P_0X_\eta(f)P_0. \tag{66}$$

---

[9] Eq. (56) is the Liouville equation in general coordinates x^i, corresponding to Hamilton function $g(x)$ (and $X(g)$ is the Hamilton vector field). (Note added).

Suppose now that the commutation relation

$$X(\eta_x)P_0 = P_0X(\eta_x) \tag{67}$$

is valid for all $x \in X$. Then from (66) and (63) it follows that

$$[Q_\eta(f), Q_\eta(g)] = Q_\eta(\{f \overset{*}{,} g\}\,. \tag{68}$$

Similarly, from (64) we have

$$[Q_\eta(f), M_\eta(g)] = M_\eta(\{f \overset{*}{,} g\}\,. \tag{68a}$$

Recalling now the relation (50) we see that the pair (M_η, Q_η) can be regarded as a homomorphism of Poisson algebra $\langle a(X),\, *_c\,,\, \{\overset{*}{,}\}\rangle$ in the algebra of operators with multiplication $*$, Eq. (48). One has to note that the relation between the Poisson bracket and the commutator (68) does not require any limit transition.

The relation (67), which in coordinates has the form

$$\omega^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial\eta_{x'}(x)}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\langle\omega_x|\omega_y\rangle}{\partial x^j} + \omega^{ij}(y)\frac{\partial\eta_{x'}(y)}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial\langle\omega_x|\omega_y\rangle}{\partial y^j} = 0\,, \tag{69}$$

establishes a connection of the device functions $\eta_{x'}$ to the reproducing kernel $\langle\omega_x|\omega_y\rangle$ in the space H_0 (in derivation of (69) the condition (55) has been also used).

Acknowledgment. One of the authors (D.T.) is grateful to S.T. Ali and F.E. Schroeck for stimulating and fruitful discussions.

References

[1] Kostant, B. — Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 170 (1970).

[2] Souriau, J.M. — *Structure des systémes dynamique*, Dunod, Paris, 1970.

[3] Mensky, M.B. — Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 47, p. 97 (1976).

[4] Perelomov A.M. — Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 26, p. 222 (1972).

[5] Scutaru, H. — Lett. Math. Phys., Vol. 2, p. 101 (1977).

[6] Holevo, A.S. — Repts. Math. Phys., Vol. 16, p. 385 (1979).

[7] Davies E.B., J.T. Lewis — Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 17, p. 239 (1970).

[8] Ali S.T., E.G. Emch — J. Math. Phys., Vol. 15, p. 176 (1974).

[9] Holevo A.S. — *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory* (in Russian). "Nauka", Moscow, 1980. [10]

---

[10] English translation: North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[10] Schroeck, F.E. — Found. Phys., Vol. 12, p. 825 (1982).

[11] Prugovecki, E. — J. Math. Phys., Vol. 17, p. 825 (1982).

12] Agarwal, G.S., E. Wolf — Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 2, p. 2161 (1970).

[13] Wigner, E. — Phys, Rev., Vol. 40, p. 749 (1932).

[14] Schroeck, F.E. — *The Dequantization Programme for Stochastic Quantum Mechanics*, Florida Atlantic Univ. Preprint, 1983. [11]

[15] Balescu, R. — *Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, Wiley, New York, 1975.

[16] Lichnerovicz, A.J. — Diff. Geometry, Vol. 12, p. 253 (1977); Guillemin, V., S. Sternberg, — Ann. Phys., Vol. 127, p. 220 (1980).

---

[11] J. Math. Phys. **26**, 306-310 (1985). (Note added).

ГОДИШНИК НА ВИСШИЯ ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИ ИНСТИТУТ
В ШУМЕН
Том XI, Б   Природо-математически факултет   1987

ANNUAL OF THE HIGHER PEDAGOGICAL INSTITUTE
IN SHUMEN
Volume XI, B   Faculty of Sciences   1987

ГЕОМЕТРИЧЕСКОЕ КВАНТОВАНИЕ, КОГЕРЕНТНЫЕ СОСТОЯНИЯ И СТОХАСТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗМЕРЕНИЯ

Благовест Николов, Димитър Трифонов

1. ВВЕДЕНИЕ

Основная цель теории геометрического квантования состоит в конструировании квантовомеханического пространства Гильберта, исходя из свойств симплектических многообразий, играющих роль фазовых пространств классических механических систем [1,2]. Если G - группа Ли, то эти многообразия возникают как орбиты коприсоединенного действия G в дуальном пространстве $A(G)^*$ алгебры $A(G)$ группы G. Пусть $x_0 \in A(G)^*$ – фиксированный элемент и X – орбита точки x_0, $X = \{ax_0 | a \in G\}$. При некоторых ограничениях на многообразие X [1,2], которые мы считаем выполненными (и значит классическая система с фазовым пространством X допускает квантование), существует унитарное одномерное представление (характер) подгруппы $K \subset G$,

$$\chi(k) \equiv \chi(\exp(X_k)) = \exp(i\langle x_0, X_k\rangle), \tag{1}$$

где $k \equiv \exp(X_k) \in K$ и $\langle x_0, X_k\rangle$ значение $x_0 \in A(G)^*$ в точке $X_k \in A(K) \subset A(G)$. Тогда можно построить индуцированное представление $W(G) = \chi(K) \uparrow G$, реализующееся в пространстве $H = L^2(X, dx)$ квадратично интегрируемых функций на X, где dx инвариантная мера на X ....

....

В настоящей работе мы рассматриваем связь между индуцированными представлениями и когерентными состояниями (п. 2) и показываем, что пространство Гильберта H можно рассматривать также в качестве пространства классических состояний (п. 3). Тогда проектор P_0 интерпретируется как квантование классической системы. Далее (п. 4) мы учитываем влияние измерительного прибора, что позволяет рассматривать известные правила квантования с единных позиций. Наконец (п. 5) мы изучаем соответствие между пуассоновскими и Ли-алгебрическими структурами.